	Case 1:20-cv-00574-NONE-HBK Docume	ent 127	Filed 10/25/21	Page 1 of 3
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	DARONTA TYRONE LEWIS,	Case	e No. 1:20-cv-005	574-NONE-HBK
12	Plaintiff,	ORI	ORDER REFERRING CASE TO ADR, STORY OF CASE FOR 90 DAYS, AND DENYING	G CASE TO ADR, STAY
13	v.	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE		
14	CONNIE GIPSON, ET. AL.,		c. No. 124)	
15	Defendants.	(Doc.	c. 140. 12 4)	
16		J		
17	Plaintiff Daronta Tyrone Lewis initiated this action proceeding pro se by filing a civil			
18	rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 stemming from an incident that occurred during Plaintiff's			
19	medical transport by a van that allegedly was not ADA compliant, so his wheelchair was not			
20	properly secured in the transport van, before the defendants drove recklessly. (Doc. No. 1). By			
21	way of background, on September 22, 2021 the district court adopted the Findings and			
22	Recommendations granting in part and denying in part defendants' motion to dismiss. (Doc. Nos.			
23	117, 120). Defendants filed their answers and affirmative defenses on October 20, 2021. (Doc.			
24	No. 123).			
25	The court refers all civil rights cases filed by prisoners to Alternative Dispute Resolution			
26	(ADR) to attempt to resolve such cases more expeditiously and less expensively. In appropriate			
27	cases, defense counsel from the California Attorney General's Office have agreed to participate in			
28	ADR. No claims, defenses, or objections are	waived 1	by the parties' part	ticipation. The court,
	i de la companya de			

Case 1:20-cv-00574-NONE-HBK Document 127 Filed 10/25/21 Page 2 of 3

therefore, STAYS this action for 90 days to allow the parties to investigate Plaintiff's claims, meet and confer, and participate in an early settlement conference. The court presumes that all such cases assigned to the undersigned will proceed to a settlement conference. However, if after investigating Plaintiff's claims and meeting and conferring either party finds that a settlement conference would be a waste of resources, that party may opt out of the early settlement conference and the Court will issue a Case Management and Scheduling Order.

The Court notes on October 20, 2021, Plaintiff filed a pleading titiled "motion for judgement on the merits of claims and proof provided undisputable by defendants." (Doc. No. 124). Plaintiff's motion consists of approximately 32-pages and mainly re-alleges the facts and claims set forth in the Complaint. (*See generally* Doc. No. 124). The motion is not accompanied by any supporting exhibits and sporadically after page 10 is labeled a memorandum. (*Id.* at 10, 13, 15-19). Liberally construed, Plaintiff's motion seeks a judgment on the pleadings under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(c). Judgment on the pleadings under Rule 12(c) is proper only after the pleadings are closed and when the moving party establishes on the face of the pleadings that there is no material issue of fact and that the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. *Hal Roach Studios, Inc. v. Richard Feiner and Co.*, 896 F.2d 1542, 1550 (9th Cir. 1990). Defendants' response to the Plaintiff's construed motion will be stayed during this period. Should the parties opt out of engaging in settlement conference, Defendant's response to the Plaintiff's construed motion will be due within twenty-one (21) days of the Court's liftin of the stay.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**:

1. This action is **STAYED for 90** days to allow the parties an opportunity to settle their dispute before the discovery process begins. No pleadings or motions, including Defendants' response to Plaintiff's construed motion (Doc. No. 124), shall be filed in this case during the stay. The parties shall not engage in formal discovery, but they may engage in

¹ However, Plaintiff further alleges that the court "continues to misconstrue" his motions and cause him embarrassment, shame, and "assassination of his character." (*See* Doc. No. 124 at 8). Such allegations are inconsistent with the intent of a Rule 12(c) motion for judgment on the pleadings.

Case 1:20-cv-00574-NONE-HBK Document 127 Filed 10/25/21 Page 3 of 3 informal discovery to prepare for the settlement conference. 2. Within 30 days from the date of this order, either party may file notice indicating their belief that settlement is not achievable at this time. If either party opts out of the settlement conference the court will issue an amended discovery and scheduling order. 3. If neither party opts out, the assigned Deputy Attorney General SHALL within 45 days of this Order contact the undersigned's Courtroom Deputy Clerk at kdunbarkari@caed.uscourts.gov to schedule the settlement conference. Before doing so, defense counsel should confirm with Plaintiff's counsel that Plaintiff will have access to Zoom on their proposed date for the settlement conference. 4. If the parties reach a settlement during the stay of this action, they SHALL file a Notice of Settlement as required by Local Rule 160. 5. The Clerk of Court shall serve Deputy Attorney Generals Matthew Roman, Patrick Petersen, and Supervising Deputy Attorney General Lawrence Bragg with a copy of the Complaint (Doc No. 1), the Findings and Recommendation (Doc. No. 117) and this Order. 15 6. The parties are obligated to keep the court informed of their current addresses during the stay and the pendency of this action. Changes of address must be reported promptly in a Notice of Change of Address. See Local Rule 182(f). Dated: October 22, 2021 HELENA M. BARCH-KUCHTA UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

18 19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28